

Appl. No. 10/085,061  
Amdt. dated October 4, 2006  
Reply to Office action of April 4, 2005  
Atty. Docket No. AP1107US

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 04 2006

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Claims 2, 4 to 11, 13 and 15 to 22 are pending in the application. Claim 22 is new.

Claims 1, 3, 12 and 14 had previously been cancelled without prejudice. The foregoing amendment cancels claims 4 and 10, also without prejudice.

Claims 4 - 7, 9, 15 and 16 were previously allowed but, in paragraph 1 of the Office Action mailed April 4, 2006, the examiner withdrew the previous allowance of those claims.

Claim 11 stands allowed.

The examiner objected to claims 8 - 10, 16, 19 and 20 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim but indicated that they would be allowed if rewritten to overcome the objection(s) set forth in the office action and also in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claim. Claims 8, 16, 19 and 20 have been so rewritten and so are allowable.

Claim 10 has not been rewritten to include the wording of claim 17; instead, claim 17 has been amended to incorporate the subject matter of claim 10 and so is allowable in its stead.

Claim 21, directed to "An arrangement..." corresponding to the method of claim 1, has been amended to incorporate a similar limitation and so is allowable for the same reasons as claim 10.

Claim 15 has been made dependent upon claim 21 and so is allowable with it.

Claim 22 is a new "arrangement" claim corresponding to allowed method claim 11, and so allowable for the same reasons.

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that all claims of record are patentable over the prior art of record. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the finality of the Office Action be rescinded, the foregoing amendments entered, and the application given early and favourable reconsideration.

Respectfully submitted,



Thomas Adams, Reg. No. 31,078